

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF OREGON
EUGENE DIVISION

ANDRE MILLER,

Plaintiff,

vs.

SAN MATEO COUNTY and SAN MATEO
COUNTY DEPARTMENT OF CHILD
SUPPORT SERVICES,

Defendants.

Case No. 6:18-cv-00884-MK
OPINION AND ORDER

AIKEN, Judge:

United States Magistrate Judge Jolie A. Russo¹ filed her Findings and Recommendation (“F&R”) (doc. 18) on September 18, 2018, recommending that this Court grant defendants’ motion to dismiss (doc. 15). The matter is now before the Court pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1) and Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 72(b).

Plaintiff filed timely objections (doc. 22) to the F&R. When any party objects to a magistrate judge’s F&R, the district court must make a *de novo* determination of the specified proposed findings or recommendations to which the objection is made. 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1);


¹ This case has since been reassigned to Magistrate Judge Mustafa T. Kasubhai.

Dawson v. Marshall, 561 F.3d 930, 932 (9th Cir. 2009); *United States v. Reyna-Tapia*, 328 F.3d 1114, 1121 (9th Cir. 2003) (en banc).

The Court has considered plaintiff's objections and concludes that there is no basis to modify the F&R. The Court has also reviewed the pertinent portions of the record *de novo* and finds no errors in the F&R. Accordingly, the Court ADOPTS Magistrate Judge Russo's F&R (doc. 18). Defendants' motion to dismiss (doc. 15) is GRANTED, and this case is DISMISSED.

IT IS SO ORDERED

Dated this 21st day of October 2018.



Ann Aiken
United States District Judge